# Options to Reduce N<sub>2</sub>O Production at Breeding Hens by Using of Different Technologies

Roman Gálik, Štefan Boďo, Štefan Mihina, Monika Dubeňová, Tomáš Boďo

**Abstract:** The aim of our work was, with the gas analyzer from the Danish manufacturer INNOVA Brüel & Kjaer, to analyze in laboratory conditions the concentration of  $N_2O$  from droppings produced by hens housed in enriched cages in the area of laying hens. This was monitored for 120 hours. We compared three breeding technologies, namely: conventional - with transverse air flow in the other technologies used chipped straw stored on dropping band in layer of 50 mm and in the third technology was in air flow optimization in the building lobby. A suitable location exhaust pipe is reached that the resulting gas ( $N_2O$ ) on dropping belt is sucked through the ventilation outside the hall. By comparison of those three technologies significant differences (0.000 < 0.05) occurred.

Keywords: hens, furnished cage, production gas

#### Introduction

Building of large-scale farms producing eggs for human consumption results in high production of harmful substances and their concentration in those halls. It is therefore important to ensure good ventilation that can during the winter or summer always sufficiently take pollutants out from breeding facility. This is done by large volume ventilation equipment. Improve of the air composition in animal housing buildings can be also achieved by airflow optimizing (Pogran, 2012). Karandušovská (2009) reported different concentrations of pollutants by measuring concentrations of pollutants. Near the tunnel fans ware values lower than in the middle of the hall. This has the effect of creating an area of high flow velocity of air close to the value of 2 ms<sup>-1</sup>, in other places is sufficiently discharged polluted air, resulting in unfavourable environment for laving hens. It is therefore more important to optimize the layout of ventilation equipment, than increasing of its performance. Pollutants are getting into the air, where they have impact on the surrounding environment (Koerkamp, 1994). It seems to be crucial to improve the amount of emissions in the lobby, namely on the bedding (Knížatová et al., 2009). This can be done in two ways: addition of plant biotechnology in food or water to achieve reduced production of ammonia in the digestive tract, or the possibility of its application to litter. This way achieved lower formation of ammonia, which is then released into the hall environment (Švenková, 2007). The secondary option use by-products formed in primary agricultural production. This is especially done the straw that we used. Our paper aimed to analyze the possibility of reducing the concentrations necessary for good agricultural practices to improve animal welfare, protect and revitalize the air.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The measurement was carried out in winter, from 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2012 to 9<sup>th</sup> February 2012. The tasks are addressed according to the following methodology:

- In the laboratory conditions, we measure the concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O from droppings of laying hens housed in enriched cages (10 units, hybrid TETRA - SL, fed the same complete feed mixtures) by mentioned three different technologies

- For each technology, we measured N<sub>2</sub>O values during 120 hours. The concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O was measured at a height of 800 mm from the floor (head level layers).

- Through the opening made in the front door, which we have fixed by a sieve, to prevent the entry of flying insects, we bring tempered air into the experimental hall from adjacent room. Exhaust pipes are placed on the opposite side, in order to ensure cross-flow of air for the conventional technology and technology with straw (Fig.1).

- In Figure 2 you can see the location of pipelines and ventilation technology with holes for air exhaustion under the grate.

- For technology with chipped straw has been used conventional method of air

exhaustion, in addition that on dropping belt was stored chipped straw on dropping band in layer of 50 mm (Fig.3).



Fig.1. Ventilation technology by conventional method of laying hens.



Fig.2. Location of exhaust pipe under the floor of the cage



Fig.3. Layered chopped straw

## MEASUREMENT TECHNOLOGY

To measure the concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O was used Photo-acoustic Gas Monitor INNOVA 1412 type with a multipoint sampler type INNOVA 1309 by Danish manufacturer Brüel & Kjaer. At the visual inspection was used digital thermometer and hygrometer test.

A statistical method used to evaluate the results obtained was ANOVA. Poláková (2011) argues that this method is appropriate to use in testing the significance of differences in the impact of a single variable factor.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

From the results obtained is easy to see that the maximum concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O in layer housing for conventional enriched cage was 1.644 mg.m<sup>-3</sup> in housing maintained with exhaust air under the grate 1.282 mg.m<sup>-3</sup> and in housing with layered straw under grid in space at the level of laying hens heads 1.321 mg.m<sup>-3</sup>. We found that among the various technologies ware significant differences (P = 0.00 <0.05), what means that the breeding technology factor is affecting the differences in concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O.

Subsequently, a test of contrasts was made, which tells us, among which means there are significant differences (Table 1). There is an obvious highly significant difference between the compared breeding technologies. From the foregoing is clear that due the change of technology we achieve more favourable concentrations of  $N_2O$  at the operating level, as in the conventional technology.

Tab. 1. Multiple Range Tests for $N_2O$ (fig.in ) Method. 30,0 percent LOD									
Technology	Count	Mean	Homogeneous Groups						
Straw 1	1650	1,04975	Х						
Ventilation 1	1649	1,05547	Х						
Conventional 1	1658	1,07617	Х						

	Tab.1.	Multiple	Range	Tests	for N <sub>2</sub> C	) (ma.m <sup>-3</sup>	) Method: 9	5.0	percent LS	D
--	--------	----------	-------	-------	----------------------	-----------------------	-------------	-----	------------	---

Contrast Sig. Difference +/- Limits Straw 1 - Conventional 1 \* -0.02642 0.00443 Straw 1 - Ventilation 1 --0,00572 0,004436 Conventional 1 - Ventilation 1 0.020702 0.00443 \* denotes a statistically significant difference.

#### CONCLUSION

The results obtained show that the lowest maximum concentration of  $N_2O$  in the level of layers was achieved with technologies of rearing laying hens in ventilation under grid space, which has been conclusively confirmed by statistical evaluation. Achieved partial results oblige us to continue the verification experiment and find other options to achieve improvements in environmental change and breeding technology to optimize the exchange of indoor air.

The paper is published thanks to the addressing of the grant project VEGA 1/0609/12. The device Photo-acoustic Multi-gas Monitor, which was used for continuous measurement of gas concentrations, was purchased from project funds the Operational Programme Research and Development Grant 26220220014 "ITEPAG".

## REFERENCES

[1] BOĎO, Š. et al. 2012. Reduction options of the concentration of ammonia in the laying breeding housed in enrichment cage. In Mendeltech international 2012. International scientific conference. Brno : MU v Brně, 2012. 4 s. ISBN 978-80-7375-625-3

[2] KARANDUŠOVSKÁ, I. et al., 2009. Posudzovanie produkcie škodlivín v objektoch pre chov dojníc a hydiny. Nitra : SPU v Nitre, 2009. 170 s. ISBN 978-80-552-0302-7.

[3] KNÍŽATOVÁ, M. et al. 2009.Výkrm kuřat na podestýlce a produkce amoniaku. In *Současnosť a perspektívy environmentálních technik v Evrop*ě [elektronický zdroj] : sborník z konference odborného semináře, Brno, 1. - 2. 6. 2009. - Brno : Mendelova zemědělská a lesnická univerzita v Brně, 2009. - ISBN 978-80-7375-311-5.

[4] P.W. Groot. KOERKAMP. 1994. Review on emision of ammonia from housing systems for laying hens in relation to sources, processes, buildingdesign and manurehandling. In *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, Volume 59, Issue 2, October 1994, Pages 73-87.

[5] POGRAN, Š. et al. 2011. Kvalita vnútorného prostredia ustajňovacích objektov Nitra : SPU v Nitre, 2011. 242 s. ISBN 978-80-552-0557-1.

[6] POLÁKOVÁ, Z. 2011. Štatistické metódy. In *Návody na cvičenia*. Nitra : Slovenská poľnohospodárska univerzita v Nitre. 2011. ISBN 978-80-552-0573-1. 116 s.

[7] ŠVENKOVÁ, J. 2007. Znižovanie emisií škodlivín plynov úpravou technických a technologických systémov v chove hospodárskych zvierat. In *Autoreferát dizertačnej práce 2007.* Slovenská poľnohospodárska univerzita v Nitre.

## Contact Address:

doc. Ing. Roman Gálik, PhD. Department of Production Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Slovan University of agriculture in Nitra, e-mail: roman.galik@uniag.sk.