Overgeneralization as a Strategy for the Acquisition of L1 and L2 Noun Morphology (the Category of Number)

Tsvetelina Harakchiyska

Abstract: The paper provides an overview of overgeneralization as a path of development in the acquisition of Bulgarian (as L1) and English (as L2) by Bulgarian children of two age groups. It presents and analyses a corpus of overgeneralization L1 and L2 errors related to the acquisition of the inflectional morphology of the category of number of Bulgarian and English nouns. Based upon the excerpted errors and on the observed patterns in the development of the L1 and L2 interlanguage of the study subjects, the paper justifies the idea that children acquire the idiosyncratic features of their mother tongue and of the foreign language earlier than the irregularities.

Key words: overgeneralization, child language, interlanguage, L1 and L2 acquisition errors, Bulgarian, English

INTRODUCTION

One of the central topics in generative language development research in the recent years has been the problem of how learners move from one developmental stage to another and why their grammars develop in a certain fashion. The growing attention to those issues (Slabakova, Montrul and Prévost, 2006; Unsworth, 2006; van Geert, 2008; Benati, 2009, etc.) convincingly shows that *overgeneralization* is a common feature of language development in both first (L1) and second (L2) language acquisition.

Although, the phenomenon of *overgeneralization* has been discussed crosslinguistically on the basis of data from Dutch, French, German and Spanish, most of the authors investigating other languages than English compare their findings with those about English (Garcia Mayo and Hawkins, 2009; Cabrera and Zubizarreta, 2005; Onnis, Roberts and Charter, 2002). Such comparisons help researchers discover the parallels and the consistent differences between the languages in focus. However, the role of overgeneralization in the acquisition of Bulgarian (as L1) and English (as L2) by Bulgarian children has not been given the necessary attention. Therefore, the present paper attempts to add some language specific data for Bulgarian and for English which contribute to the discussion of overgeneralization as a strategy of acquisition of both L1 and L2 noun morphology.

OVERGENERALIZATION AND LEARNERS' INTERNAL GRAMMAR

The starting point for discussing overgeneralization as a L1 and L2 learning strategy is the concept of *interlanguage*, which is considered to be an interim grammar that "learners build on their way to full target language competence" [Ellis, 1994: 30]. Though, introduced by Larry Selinker (1972) and attributed to the theory of second language acquisition, the construct of interlanguage can refer to the exploration of child L1 acquisition. The reason for that is the following: just like L2 learners, children who acquire their mother tongue, are involved in a continual process of formulation of hypotheses about language rules. As new elements of the L1 are acquired, the hypotheses are tested and assessed which brings children closer to the correct use of the language in focus.

Although the interlanguage systems of L1 children and L2 speakers are structurally intermediate in status, the L2 learner's interlanguage system is more complicated than that of a L1 learning child. While the interim grammar of a child who is attaining his/her first language approximates the L1, the interim grammar of a L2 speaker is an intermediate stage between the L1 and L2 in which the learner uses forms from both linguistic systems in order to produce sentences in L2 (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 The interlanguage systems of L1 and L2 learners

In the process of L1 or L2 acquisition interlanguage is a dynamic and constantly evolving linguistic state of L1 and L2 users. In fact it is the complex result of the internal cognitive processes that take place during L1 or L2 acquisition. One of these processes is *overgeneralization*, which allows the learners to make a learning task more manageable to themselves by extending a language rule to linguistic norms where it is not appropriate, or where it would lead to ungrammaticalities due to false analogy with other forms.

Data from research studies on first and second language acquisition (Ellis, 1994; Gass and Selinker, 2008; Lightbown and Spada, 2006 etc.), which explore the mental processes that take place in learning a language, convincingly show that: a) children who learn their first language tend to overgeneralize grammatical morphemes; and b) overgeneralization of L2 rules affects the second language production of young learners.

In the light of the above mentioned conclusions, the present paper attempts to shed light on the common patterns of linguistic behaviour in the L1 and L2 interlanguage of Bulgarian children. In order to do so it examines the overgeneralization errors of Bulgarian 2-4-year-old children (who are in the process of acquiring Bulgarian as their mother tongue) and of Bulgarian 8-10-year-old children who learn English as a foreign language.

METHODOLOGY

Instruments and subjects

The methodology followed in the research was a combination of quantitative and qualitative means of data collection. The overgeneralization errors in the L1 interlanguage of Bulgarian children aged 2 to 4 are collected through a longitudinal study (2008-2010) of the speech patterns of six children, while the overgeneralization errors in the L2 interlanguage of Bulgarian young learners of English (aged 8-10) were gathered through the examination of the written works of 312 primary school children from state schools in the town of Ruse during the 2009 / 2010 and 2010 / 2011 school years (Table 1).

Study groups	L1 / L2 learners	Age of children	Number of children	
			Girls	Boys
Group A	Bulgarian L1 speaking children	2 – 4 year old	4	2
Group B	Bulgarian young learners of English as L2	8 – 9 year old	72	55
Group C		9 – 10 year old	86	99

Table 1: Profile of the study subjects

Results

Due to the constraints of the current paper, we will focus our attention on the analysis of those overgeneralization errors in the L1 and L2 interlanguages of the study subjects, which are related to the acquisition of Bulgarian and English noun morphology. Since most of the collected errors concern the use of overgeneralization as a strategy for the acquisition of the inflectional categories of **number** in nouns in the two languages, the discussion below aims at giving a detailed presentation of that.

The inflectional category of *number* in nouns in contemporary English and Bulgarian languages distinguishes two number forms – *singular* and *plural*. Unlike English where almost all nouns form their plural forms through two suffixes: –s (e.g. book – books; cup – cups) and –es (e.g. box – boxes; bush – bushes), the Bulgarian category of number in nouns is more complex as the choice of the plural suffix depends considerably on the gender of the noun. Still, there are many exceptions, some nouns alter additionally when forming plurals and sometimes the word stress also changes its position.

To illustrate this complexity we will summarize the changes of those Bulgarian monosyllabic masculine nouns which form plurals by the use of the inflections -ове and -и and of the Bulgarian feminine nouns that form their plural with the suffix -и (Table 2).

Table 2: Plural forms of some of the Bulgarian nouns

	Plural forms of some of the Bulgarian nouns			
Gender	Ending	Examples	Additional alternations	Examples
	-ове	хляб – хля̀б ове (bread – loaves of bread)	A change in the position of the stress	град—градове (town – towns) стол—столове (chair – chairs)
		сто̀л – стол о̀ве	<я> (in the root morpheme changes to <e></e>	бряг – бреговѐ (shore – shores)
		(chair – chairs)	Metathesis of <ъ> from the letter group < ръ >	в ръ х – въ р ховѐ (peak – peaks)
Monosyllabi c masculine nouns			Palatalization of the preceding consonant, indicated by < b >	зет – зèтьове (son-in-law – sons-in-law)
nouns			A combination of all of the above alternations	вятър – ветровè (wind – winds) (< я > changes to <e>; loss of < ъ >)</e>
	-и	гост – ròст и (guest – guests)	The velar consonants / r, κ, x / in word final position change to / 3,	подлог—подлози;(subject – subjects) ученùк – ученùци
		зъб – зъ̀б и (tooth – teeth)	ц, с / before –и in the plural form	(student – students) кожу̀ х —кожу̀ с и; (fur coat – fur coats)
Feminine nouns	-и	рекà – рек ù (river – rivers)	<я> in the root morpheme changes to <e></e>	вяра – вери (belief – beliefs)
		жена̀ – жен ù (woman –	Epenthetic < e > and < ъ > are dropped in the	пèсен – пèсни (song – songs)
		women) планина –	plural form Metathesis of <ъ> from the letter group <ръ>	мѝсъл – мѝ сл и (thought -) к ръ в – къ̀ р ви (blood)
		планина— планин ù (mountain — mountains)	the letter group \ps	връв – въ̀рви (string – strings)

The elaborate nature of the category of number of Bulgarian nouns and the relatively simple way of forming plurals in English, leads to a higher percentage of overgeneralization errors in the L1 oral production of the study subjects from Group A-76%, compared to the 24 % overgeneralization errors in the L2 written productions of the study Groups B and C.

A. Overgeneralization errors in the L1 oral production of Bulgarian children

The overgeneralization errors observed in the L1 interlanguage of the study subjects from Group A prove that the children have managed to capture the general ways in which Bulgarian nouns form their plural forms. The extension of the rules to items that are excluded from the L1 norms results to errors. For example:

НАУЧНИ ТРУДОВЕ НА РУСЕНСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ - 2011, том 50, серия 6.3

(1) (3;8 ¹) Тези *прозор е ци са	<Тези прозорци	ca (These windows are dirty.)
мръсни.	мръсни.	
(2) (3;1) А *п е тели има ли?	< А петли има ли?	(Are there any cocks?)
(3) (2;6) Много* лов е ци	< [Има] много ловци.	(There are many hunters.)
(4) (2;9) *Льоши крад е ци.	< Лоши крадци	(Bad thieves.)

The L1 interlanguage errors presented above show that the Bulgarian children form correctly the plural form of the Bulgarian polysyllabic nouns by using the inflection $-\mathbf{u}$. However, the children overgeneralize that rule and do not drop the / \mathbf{e} / from the suffix $-\mathbf{e}\mathbf{u}$ in the plural form.

Å similar type of overgeneralization error is observed in the case when the Bulgarian children but do not change the velar consonants / κ / in front of the inflection $-\mu$ to / μ /. e.g.

```
(1) (3;7) Дядо чете *вестники.
                                < Дядо чете вестници.
                                                            (Daddy reads newspapers.)
(2) (4;0) В цирка има *смешники. < В цирка има смешници.
                                                            (There are clowns in the
                                                            circus.)
                                                            (The wolf eats rabbits)
(3) (3;2) Вълчо папа *заеки.
                                < Вълчо яде зайци.
(4) (3,9) *Моряките са на <
                                      Моряците са на (The sailors are on board)
кораба.
                                кораба.
(5) (3:1) Нося кофата много
                                < Нося много пясък в (I am carrying a lot of sand
*пясъки
                                кофата. (plural of \piscъ\kappa – in the bucket.)
```

At the same time the observed Bulgarian speaking children tend to apply the above mentioned rule to cases when it is not applicable; thus forming incorrect plural forms. e.g.

Moreover, the presence of forms like $p_{\mathcal{L}}\kappa u$ in the sentence $Mevo\ uma\ p_{\mathcal{L}}\kappa u$ instead of $Mevo\ uma\ p_{\mathcal{L}}ue^2$ clearly demonstrates that in the process of L1 acquisition children make new plural forms on the basis of analogy, which leads to ungrammaticalities, or create new forms which allow them to fill in the gaps in their knowledge of the structure and functions of the language. This can be supported by the excerpted plural forms of the following neutral nouns: $\partial eme\ (a\ child)$, $oko\ (an\ eye)$ and $yxo\ (an\ ear)$. For example:

```
(1) (3;3) Тука* детенца граят.
                                 < Тук играят деца.
                                                             (Children play here.)
(2) (2;8) Отидили си
                                 < Отишли са си децата.
                                                            (The children have gone.)
*дететата
(3) (2;5) Крий *оките.
                                 < Ше си скрия очите.
                                                             (I will hide my eves with my
                                                             hands.)
(4) (2:7) Няма *окениа.
                                 < Няма оченца [куклата].
                                                             ([The doll] has no eyes.)
(5) (3;0) Tu имаш ли *ухи?
                                 < Ти имаш ли уши?
                                                             (Have you got ears?)
(6) (3:1) Зайко има дълги *vxu.
                                 < Зайко има дълги vши.
                                                             (The bunny has long ears.)
```

A special difficulty in the acquisition of the plural form of Bulgarian masculine nouns that end in a consonant (e.g. $\pi posopeu$ (window), $e\pi a\kappa$ (train), $esu\kappa$ (language) etc.) is the existence of another form marking plurality – the numerical form. It is used after cardinal numbers and the adverbs $\kappa o\pi \kappa o$ (how many), $mo\pi \kappa oea$ (this / that / so many; this / that / so much) and $\mu g\kappa o\pi \kappa o$ (several, some, few) and is formed with the endings -a and -g (Table 3).

¹ In brackets is given the age of the child. For example: (3;8) – three years and eight months old

² ръце – irregular plural form of the feminine noun ръка

Singular noun form	Countable noun form		Regular plural form
	Ending	Example	
град (town)	-a	гра̀д а	град овѐ
езùк (tongue / language)		езùк а	езùц и
прозорец (window)		прозорец а	прозорци
театър (theatre)		теа̀тър а	теа̀тр и
брой (number)	-я	броя	бро̀еве
кòн (horse)		кòн я	кон ѐ
път (road)	1	пъ̀т я	пъ̀т ища

Table 3: Numerical form of some Bulgarian masculine nouns ending in a syllable

The overgeneralization of the rules for forming plurals of masculine nouns and the fact that the numerical form of masculine nouns is not a particularly early acquisition results in forms such as:

(1) (3;6) Два *меч ове имаме да	< Два меч а имаме, за	(We have got two swords to
боцкаме с тях	да се бием с тях.	fight with.)
(2) (3;2) Колко прозор еци светят?	< Колко прозорец а светят?	(How many windows are lit?)
(3) (2;9) Толкова пръст и ?	< Толкова ли пръст а ?	(That many fingers? (to show how old he is))

The gathered overgeneralization errors in the L1 oral production of the 2-4-year old Bulgarian children also show one interesting phenomenon – children's creativity in language acquisition which finds expression in the coinage of innovative word forms. The novel forms that children produce do not only strike the ear, but also give evidence that the child is actively using the rules in his/her grammar to produce word forms that are not in the language of adults. Such innovations are the plural forms of the Bulgarian monosyllabic masculine nouns HOM, CMOM and CMOM in the sentences:

(1) (2;4) Дай нож ов .	< Дай [ми] тези нож ове .	(Give me the knives.)
(2) (2;6) Няма стол ов .	< Няма стол ове .	(There aren't any chairs
(3) (3;9) Много слон ов бягат.	< Много слон ове бягат.	here.) (Many elephants are running.)

These plural noun forms, which do not exist in Bulgarian language and in adult grammar, demonstrate that the children have mixed the inflection for marking the plural forms of masculine nouns **-obe** with the inflection **-ob** which is part of some Bulgarian adjectives (e.g. *aomobe* (ready), *cypobe* (raw), *macobe* (mass) etc). This suggests that the acquisition of the morphological system of the language involves learning which rules belong to which level.

B. Overgeneralization errors in the L2 written production of Bulgarian young learners of English

The fairly simple system of inflectional morphology in English for distinguishing the singular and plural forms of nouns, does not pose much difficulty for Bulgarian young learners of English as a foreign language. That is perhaps the reason why only two basic types of L2 overgeneralization errors are observed:

1. Application of the L2 rules for forming plurals to uncountable (mass) nouns

Most of the overgeneralization errors (about 40%) that have been excerpted from the written works of the study subjects from groups B and C, show that children apply the regular rules for forming plurals to uncountable nouns which in English do not have a plural form. For instance:

- I write my *homeworks at home.
- We have many *homeworks.
- I like *fruits
- *She like fruits.
- *Fruits are cherries.

- I like many *foods
- This are foods.
- My dog eats *meats.
- His *luggages are here.
- My friend has long *hairs.

НАУЧНИ ТРУДОВЕ НА РУСЕНСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ - 2011, том 50, серия 6.3

- Apples and bananas are* fruits.
- I don't have moneys.

- Two *butters, please.
- There are many cheeses.
- I want two* milks.

The ungrammaticalities in this case are a result not so much of the overgeneralization of the L2 rule for forming plurals, but rather a direct interference of the L1 as in Bulgarian nouns such as плод (fruit), домашно (homework), месо (meat), мляко (milk), сирене (cheese), коса (hair) and пара (money) may have a plural form.

2. Application of the L2 rules for forming plurals of nouns which have irregular plurals

About 10% of the overgeneralization errors of the Bulgarian young learners of English give evidence of the fact that children's L2 acquisition is governed by the rule types of the foreign language. The existence of incorrect plurals such as:

mans (instead of men):

- childs (instead of children);
- womans (instead of women);
- tooths (instead of teeth)
- foots (instead of feet);

shows that the children have command of the regular plural rule in English. What is more, this also suggests that in the process of language acquisition the idiosyncratic features of the language are learned earlier than the irregularities. This pattern in the development of language awareness is used as a basis for the development of primary school coursebooks in foreign language used in the Bulgarian classrooms which is why the number of the excerpted words is so limited.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The presented results of the role of overgeneralization as a strategy for the acquisition of noun morphology of the category of number in the L1 and L2 interlanguages of Bulgarian children, suggests that children follow the constraints of the language and tend to apply the already acquired rules of grammar when they form new plural forms of nouns. However, in the process of L1 and L2 language acquisition children pass through different stages in which their internal grammars accommodate to the fact that in a language noun may have irregular forms or forms which do not comply with the regularities already learned. Only the consistent exposure to positive evidence, i.e. the irregular forms or exceptions of the rule, will help learners replace the incorrect ones.

REFERENCES

- [1] Garcia Mayo, M. P and Hawkins, R.D. Second Language Acquisition of Articles: Empirical Findings and Theoretical Implications, John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2009.
- [2] Gass, S. M. and Selinker, L. Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course, Taylor and Francis, 2008.
- [3] Ellis, R. The Study of Second Language Acquisition, Oxford University Press.1994.
- [4] Lightbown, P. M. and Spada, N. *How Languages Are Learned,* 3rd edn., China: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [5] Unsworth, S. Paths of Development in L1 and L2 Acquisition: in Honour of Bonnie D. Schwartz, John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2006.
- [6] van Geert, P. "The dymanic system approach in the study of L1 and L2: an introduction". In *The Modern Language Journal*, 92, ii, 2008, pp. 179-199.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Pr. Assist. Prof. Tsvetelina Harakchiyska, PhD, Department of Bulgarian Language, Literature and Arts, University of Ruse, Phone: +359 82 / 888 612,

E-mail: tharakchiyska@uni-ruse.bg

The paper is reviewed.



00 200 200 200 C

РУСЕНСКИ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "АНГЕЛ КЪНЧЕВ" UNIVERSITY OF RUSE "ANGEL KANCHEV"

ДИПЛОМА

Програмният комитет на Научната конференция РУ&СУ'11 награждава с КРИСТАЛЕН ПРИЗ "THE BEST PAPER"

гл. ас. д-р Цветелина Кирилова Харакчийска автор на доклада

"Свръхгенерализацията като стратегия за усвояване морфологията на съществителните имена в родния и чуждия език(категорията число)"

DIPLOMA

The Programme Committee of the Scientific Conference RU&SU'11 awards the Crystal Prize "THE BEST PAPER" to Pr. Assist. Prof. Tsvetelina Harakchiyska, PhD author of the paper "Overgeneralization as a Strategy in the Acquisition

of L1 and L2 Noun Morphology (the Category of Number)"

РЕКТОР

Проф. д.т.н. Христо Белоев **Prof. DSc Hristo Beloev**

29.10.2011